

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/302,397	04/30/1999	KAZUNORI OZAWA	SON-0432	6830
30743	7590 08/09/2004		EXAMINER	
WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON, P.C. 11491 SUNSET HILLS ROAD			ARMSTRONG, ANGELA A	
SUITE 340 RESTON, VA 20190		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2654		

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) OZAWA, KAZUNORI 09/302.397 **Advisory Action** Examiner **Art Unit** Angela A. Armstrong 2654 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 28 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: (see attached). 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: ____. Claim(s) objected to: . Claim(s) rejected: ____. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ___ 8. The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

Best Available Copy SUPERVISORY PATE

10. Other:

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/302,397

Art Unit: 2654

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed June 28, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues the modifications of Kleijn as proposed by the Examiner is not fairly taught by the references and it is not at all clear that such modifications would result in a working coder/decoder and that the Examiner has identified out of context features in Ozawa and say that they could be used to modify Kleijn.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5

USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Ozawa et al teaches a speech coding system (implementing encoder and decoder structures) which implements a M-LCELP encoder and decoder structure, which includes multiplexer on the encoder and demultiplexer with the decoder and provides for mode selection such that coding methods and codebooks are changed to improve coding efficiency as well as to reduce codebook size. Further, the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references.



Application/Control Number: 09/302,397

Art Unit: 2654

Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981).

In this instance, Ozawa et al teaches a speech coding system (implementing encoder and decoder structures) which implements a M-LCELP encoder and decoder structure, which includes multiplexer on the encoder and demultiplexer with the decoder and provides for mode selection such that coding methods and codebooks are changed. Ozawa specifically teaches the implementation of such a system is advantageous because the modification would improve coding efficiency, reduce codebook size, and yield high quality synthetic speech (Abstract; page I-269, section 2; page I-272, section 7). Thus one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize the specific advantages of combining the teachings of Ozawa with the teachings of Kleijn, for the purpose of improving coding efficiency and obtaining high quality synthetic speech, as specifically suggested by Ozawa.

Application/Control Number: 09/302,397

Art Unit: 2654

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Angela A. Armstrong whose telephone number is 703-308-6258.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on (703) 305-9645. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Angela A. Armstrong

Page 4

Examiner

Art Unit 2654

AAA

July 29, 2004

Best Available Copy